

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11581

Claimant: RALEIGH SAVINGS & LOAN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11582

Claimant: OAK RIDGE TEXTILES,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11583

Claimant: NORTHWESTERN BANK BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11584

Claimant: ELKS COUNTRY CLUB,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11585

Claimant: DUKE POWER CO,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11586

Claimant: DRAYMORE MANUFACTURING CO,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11587

Claimant: COMPUTER OFFICE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11588

Claimant: CAROLINA COUNTRY CLUB,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11589

Claimant: BENJAMIN PARKWAY BRANCH GREENSBORO LIBRA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11590

Claimant: BANK OF ASHEVILLE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11591

Claimant: LABORER S 310 UNION OFFICE BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature of context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11592

Claimant: WEGMAN STORE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature of context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11593

Claimant: W G N,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11594

Claimant: TONAWANDA POLICE JOB,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11595

Claimant: NEW MUNICIPAL BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11596

Claimant: OLIAN NURSING HOME,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11597

Claimant: KINGS DAVID HOTEL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11598

Claimant: GENERAL MOTORS BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11599

Claimant: FORD MANHATTAN BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11600

Claimant: BEECH NUT COMPANY,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11601

Claimant: A&S DEPARTMENT STORE,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11602

Claimant: A&S BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11603

Claimant: 1ST NATIONAL BUILDING,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11604

Claimant: MARQUETTE NATIONAL BANK,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11605

Claimant: EXECUTIVE PLAZA,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11606

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11607

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either directly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11608

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11609

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11610

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11611

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11612

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11613

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11614

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11615

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11616

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11617

Claimant: HOLIDAY INN,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11618

Claimant: HUDSON S BAY COMPANY ZELLERS,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11619

Claimant: UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11620

Claimant: 354401 ALBERTA LTD C O REDCLIFF REALTY M,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to demonstrate that a Grace asbestos-containing product was actually in the building.

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11621

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11622

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11623

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11624

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11625

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11626

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11627

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11628

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11629

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11630

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11631

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11632

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 68 NANAIMO LADYSMITH,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11633

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11634

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11635

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11636

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11637

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11638

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11639

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11640

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11641

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11642

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11643

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11644

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11645

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11646

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos on the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11647

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11648

Claimant: BRISTOL INVESTMENTS LTD & BARAFIELD REAL,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☐ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.

Attorney: SPEIGHTS, DANIEL A

Law Firm: SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN

Claim Number: 11649

Claimant: SCHOOL DISTRICT 43 COQUITLAM,

As described below, certain questions on the Asbestos Property Damage Proof of Claim Form require the claimant to provide documents. On the Claim Form identified above, the following checked questions were not answered or were not responded to properly, for the reason(s) stated:

☒ **Category 1 Claim:** ☐ Category 1 Comments:

16. Documents relating to the purchase and/or installation of the product in the property.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

18. Documents concerning when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

they fail to indicate either expressly or from the nature or context of the document, when the claimant first knew of the presence of asbestos in the property.

22. Documents concerning efforts to remove, contain and/or abate the Grace product.

☒ No documents were provided.

☐ Documents provided are insufficient because:

26. Documents concerning testing or sampling for asbestos in the property.

☐ No documents were provided.

☒ Documents provided are insufficient because:

building air sample results were not included.